



enw

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of

Inventors: Koji IMURA, et al. Art Unit: 2613
Application No.: 10/070,388 Examiner: Richard Lee
Filed: March 6, 2002
For: IMAGE CODING APPARATUS AND IMAGE CODING METHOD

RESPONSE TO ELECTION OF SPECIES REQUIREMENT

Assistant Commissioner of Patents
Washington, DC 20231

Dear Sir:

In response to the Election of Species Requirement dated February 28, 2001, the applicant hereby elects the species corresponding to Group II, with traverse. Claims 2, 5, 7, 8, 10, 13, 17 and 20 read thereon. Under 37 CFR 1.141, upon allowance of a generic claim, the Applicants are entitled to consideration of the remaining species.

The Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the Election of Species Requirement. No unduly extensive or burdensome search would be required to examine the claims of the various species in the same application. MPEP §803 states:

"If the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the Examiner must examine it on the merits even though it includes claims to distinct or independent inventions."

In the present case, the search for all pending claims together would not be burdensome, since the fields of search would likely overlap and pertinent art to the claims of the identified species would likely be found while searching each of the inventions individually.


Moreover, from the standpoint of costs to the applicant involved in filing, issuance and maintenance fees relating to separate applications if the present requirement were maintained, it is clear that there is substantially more burden on the Applicant by imposing the present requirement than on the Patent Office if the requirement were withdrawn.

In addition, it is noted that to require the claims of the various species to issue in separate patents would result in inconvenience to the public by necessitating reference to more than one patent during searching, in order to review closely related subject matter.

Therefore, withdrawal of the election requirement is warranted.

Reconsideration and withdrawal of the election requirement
are respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,



James E. Ledbetter
Registration No. 28,732

Date: April 11, 2005

JEL/ejw

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. L9289.02139

STEVENS, DAVIS, MILLER & MOSHER, L.L.P.
1615 L Street, NW
P.O. Box 34387
Washington, DC 20043-4387
Telephone: (202) 408-5100
Facsimile: (202) 408-5200